

**IMPLEMENTATION REPORT FOR THE EUROPEAN TERRITORIAL  
COOPERATION GOAL  
PART A**

**IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT**

CCI	2014TC16RFCB002
Title	(Interreg V-A) AT-CZ - Austria-Czech Republic
Version	2019.0
Date of approval of the report by the monitoring committee	11-Sep-2020

<b>IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT .....</b>	<b>1</b>
KEY INFORMATION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME FOR THE YEAR CONCERNED, INCLUDING ON FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS, WITH RELATION TO THE FINANCIAL AND INDICATOR DATA.....	4
<b>3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS.....</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1 OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION .....	7
3.2 COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC INDICATORS (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....	8
PRIORITY AXES OTHER THAN TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE .....	8
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 1.1A.....	8
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 1.1A.1A .....	9
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 1.1B.....	10
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 1.1B.1B .....	11
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 2.6C.....	12
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.6C.2A .....	13
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 2.6D.....	14
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.6D.2B .....	15
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 2.6F .....	16
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.6F.2C.....	17
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 3.10A.....	18
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 3.10A.3A .....	19
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 4.11A.....	20
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 4.11A.4A .....	21
PRIORITY AXES FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE .....	22
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 5.TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE .....	22
3.3 TABLE 3: INFORMATION ON THE MILESTONES AND TARGETS DEFINED IN THE PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK .....	23
3.4. FINANCIAL DATA.....	24
TABLE 4: FINANCIAL INFORMATION AT PRIORITY AXIS AND PROGRAMME LEVEL.....	24
WHERE APPLICABLE, THE USE OF ANY CONTRIBUTION FROM THIRD COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME SHOULD BE PROVIDED (FOR EXAMPLE IPA AND ENI, NORWAY, SWITZERLAND) .....	25
TABLE 5: BREAKDOWN OF THE CUMULATIVE FINANCIAL DATA BY CATEGORY OF INTERVENTION.....	26
TABLE 6: CUMULATIVE COST OF ALL OR PART OF AN OPERATION IMPLEMENTED OUTSIDE THE UNION PART OF THE PROGRAMME AREA .....	27
(1) ERDF SUPPORT IS THE COMMISSION DECISION ON THE RESPECTIVE COOPERATION PROGRAMME. ....	27
<b>4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS.....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN.....</b>	<b>32</b>
(A) ISSUES WHICH AFFECT THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND THE MEASURES TAKEN.....	32
(B) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, OTHERWISE IT WILL BE INCLUDED IN POINT 9.1. AN ASSESSMENT OF WHETHER PROGRESS MADE TOWARDS TARGETS IS SUFFICIENT TO ENSURE THEIR FULFILMENT, INDICATING ANY REMEDIAL ACTIONS TAKEN OR PLANNED, WHERE APPROPRIATE. ....	33
<b>6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....</b>	<b>36</b>
8.1. MAJOR PROJECTS .....	36
TABLE 7: MAJOR PROJECTS.....	36
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN IMPLEMENTING MAJOR PROJECTS AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM .....	36
ANY CHANGE PLANNED IN THE LIST OF MAJOR PROJECTS IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME .....	36
8.2. JOINT ACTION PLANS .....	37
TABLE 8: JOINT ACTION PLANS (JAP) .....	38
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM .....	39
<b>9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....</b>	<b>40</b>
9.1 INFORMATION IN PART A AND ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....	40

9.2. SPECIFIC ACTIONS TAKEN TO PROMOTE EQUALITY BETWEEN MEN AND WOMEN AND TO PROMOTE NON-DISCRIMINATION, IN PARTICULAR ACCESSIBILITY FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES, AND THE ARRANGEMENTS IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THE INTEGRATION OF THE GENDER PERSPECTIVE IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME AND OPERATIONS (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (D) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....	41
9.3. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (E) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....	42
9.4. REPORTING ON SUPPORT USED FOR CLIMATE CHANGE OBJECTIVES (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....	43
9.5. ROLE OF PARTNERS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1, (C) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....	44
<b>10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013 .....</b>	<b>45</b>
10.1 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EVALUATION PLAN AND THE FOLLOW-UP GIVEN TO THE FINDINGS OF EVALUATIONS .....	45
10.2 THE RESULTS OF THE INFORMATION AND PUBLICITY MEASURES OF THE FUNDS CARRIED OUT UNDER THE COMMUNICATION STRATEGY .....	47
<b>11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....</b>	<b>48</b>
11.1. PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INTEGRATED APPROACH TO TERRITORIAL DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING INTEGRATED TERRITORIAL INVESTMENTS, SUSTAINABLE URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND COMMUNITY LED LOCAL DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME .....	48
11.2 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS TO REINFORCE THE CAPACITY OF AUTHORITIES AND BENEFICIARIES TO ADMINISTER AND TO USE THE ERDF .....	49
11.3 CONTRIBUTION TO MACRO-REGIONAL AND SEA BASIN STRATEGIES (WHERE APPROPRIATE) .....	50
<i>EUSDR</i> .....	51
11.4 PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS IN THE FIELD OF SOCIAL INNOVATION .....	53
<b>13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH .....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013).....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>DOCUMENTS.....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS.....</b>	<b>57</b>

## 2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(3)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

### **Key information on the implementation of the cooperation programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data.**

The focus of the **year 2019** was to continue the rapid implementation of the programme. Two meetings of the Monitoring Committee were held, in which a total of 17 new projects with a financial volume of € 19.634.169,68 – were approved. This meant a total commitment of 86% ERDF funds at 67 projects (with an issued ERDF contract) at the end of the year 2019.

As of December 31, 2019, there were 16 completed projects (out of which 15 were completed action-wise and 1 had already an administrative closure in eMS) split across all priority axes of the programme.

#### **Output indicators:**

These 17 newly selected projects belong to all investment priorities. Through their approval, an important contribution to the achievement of programme indicators could be made. It is necessary to note that the achievement affects only approved/allocated results in most cases. Tangible outputs can be monitored only after implementation/ finalisation of these projects.

In concrete terms the contribution for 2019 breaks down as follows:

**IP 1a:** OP1: 3 mechanisms were selected and 0,5 mechanism was reported; OP2: 8 organisations were selected and 5 were reported.

**IP 1b:** OP3: 3 services were selected and no were reported; OP4: 6 enterprises were selected and no reported.

**IP 6c:** OP5: 2 elements were selected, 1 element was reported; OP6: 1 mechanism was selected and no mechanism was reported; OP7: 2 elements were selected and no were reported; CO14: no new kilometres were selected, no reported.

**IP 6f:** OP10: 1 eco-innovation was selected, no reported; OP11: 1 mechanism was selected, no was reported.

**IP 10a:** OP12: 4 mechanisms were selected, 2 were reported; CO46: 848 persons were selected and 518 persons reported.

**IP11a:** OP13: 9 project partners were selected, 483 partners were reported.

Taking into account all projects selected so far the output indicators of the IP 1a and IP 1b, IP 6d, IP 10a, IP 11a have been allocated fully.

#### **Allocation of the ERDF funds:**

The financial allocation of the projects approved so far amounts to € 84.390.495,26. Compared to the previous

years all investment priorities are well on schedule, the IPs 1a, 1b and 6d being the best-adopted ones.

In the year of 2019, total of € **4.377.784,01 ERDF** resources were paid to the beneficiaries.

### **Meetings and seminars:**

In 2019 a total of **three** so called **Task Forces** (meetings of the programme partners) and one **bilateral FLC** meeting were organized. These meetings are necessary to achieve good and result-oriented cooperation at the program level. On the national level, no FLC meetings were held, as there was a constant exchange between the Managing Authority and the respective FLC points via e-mail and telephone.

In order to inform the potential applicants and to present to them the program INTERREG V-A Austria-Czech Republic, **four seminars** were held **for applicants** during the year 2019. An equally important group addressed by the Program Authorities are the project partners. **Two seminars** were organized **for project partners** and carried out in the programme area. The seminars dealt with administrative issues after approval of the projects by the Monitoring Committee, reporting in the eMS, eligibility of expenditures and public procurement. Other points were the publicity database iBox and publicity requirements.

### **Publicity:**

The **EC Day 2019** was held in form of PR workshops for project partners. The first workshop for Austrian partners took place in St. Pölten (Austria) on the 12.11.2019 in German and the second workshop was organized on the 13.11.2019 in Brno (Czech republic) for Czech partners in Czech. The workshops were led by media professionals who provided the project partners with relevant information about storytelling, working with the media, social media presentation and press releases and who further motivated the project partners to make their project results more visible to the public. The aim of the workshops was to provide project partners with the know-how, in order to be able to share their project results with the general public and thus act as efficient multipliers of publicity themselves. Furthermore, the participants were provided with promotional material by the programme to spread it to the public through their organizations.

A milestone for the visibility of the program was set in 2019 with the start of the **media campaign**, which was intended to raise the profile of the program and the funded projects and their results among the general public. A number of PR activities were implemented, including videos of 4 projects that were published on YouTube. The most important channel for the information flow of the program - the program website - was graphically revised in 2019.

### **Miscellaneous:**

In 2019 the **programme evaluation** continued. In concrete terms, the evaluation of the Working Packages 1-3 was conducted and finalized. In the context of WP4-7 empirical research and surveys were conducted. This part of the evaluation related to examining the program implementation, the results achieved and the impact of the projects supported. More details to be found under Chapter 4.

In addition, program documents (various manuals) were revised or updated.

Finally, the programming process for the programming period 2021-2017 started in 2019. The first

programming workshop took place on 19 June 2019, followed by a second workshop on 19 October 2019. The focus was mainly put on prospective requirements as well as on thematic discussions. The social-economic analysis was conducted and finalized by the end of 2019. In parallel, a Working Group dedicated to simplified cost options was installed; a first meeting took place in November 2019 for exploring programme-specific options, off-the-shelf options as well as copy& paste options.

### 3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS

#### 3.1 Overview of the implementation

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
1	Strengthening research, technological development and innovation	6 new projects were approved in 2019, no significant problems were identified
2	Environment and Resources	4 new projects were approved in 2019, no significant problems were identified
3	Human resources development	4 new projects were approved in 2019, no significant problems were identified
4	Sustainable networks and institutional cooperation	3 new projects were approved in 2019, no significant problems were identified
5	Technical assistance	No new projects were approved in 2019

## 3.2 Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

### Priority axes other than technical assistance

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>1 - Strengthening research, technological development and innovation</b>
Investment priority	1a - Enhancing research and innovation (R&I) infrastructure and capacities to develop R&I excellence, and promoting centres of competence, in particular those of European interest

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 1.1a**

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	OP 1	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of R&I (studies, strategies etc.)	Mechanisms	5.00	1.50	0.50 mechanism was reported in 2019 (ATCZ15 report 4.1), 1 mechanism in 2018 (ATCZ14, report 2.1), none in 2017 and none in 2016 --> in sum 1.5 mechanism
S	OP 1	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of R&I (studies, strategies etc.)	Mechanisms	5.00	8.00	3 mechanisms in 2019, none in 2018, 3 mechanisms in 2017, 2 mechanisms in 2016 --> in sum 8 mechanisms have been selected so far; tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
F	OP 2	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	15.00	22.00	5 organisations in 2019 (ATCZ40 report 4.1), 8 organisations in 2018 (ATCZ38 report 2.1, ATCZ52 report 1.1., ATCZ21 report 1.1., ATCZ15 report 2.1), 6 organisations in 2017 (ATCZ133 report 1.1), 3 organisations in 2016 (ATCZ14 report 1.1) --> in sum 22 organisations/ research institutions were reported as output indicator for the end of 2019; this indicator is seen as delivered once the research institutions start to cooperate (mostly reported already in reports 1.1)
S	OP 2	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	15.00	30.00	8 organisations in 2019, no organisation in 2018, 10 organisations in 2017, 12 organisations in 2016 --> in sum 30 organisations have been selected as an indicator so far.

(I)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	OP 1	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of R&I (studies, strategies etc.)	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	OP 1	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of R&I (studies, strategies etc.)	5.00	5.00	2.00	0.00	0.00
F	OP 2	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	17.00	9.00	3.00	0.00	0.00
S	OP 2	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	22.00	22.00	12.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]



<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>1 - Strengthening research, technological development and innovation</b>
Investment priority	1a - Enhancing research and innovation (R&I) infrastructure and capacities to develop R&I excellence, and promoting centres of competence, in particular those of European interest
Specific objective	1a - Improved and extended research and innovation capacities

**Table 1: Result indicators - 1.1a.1a**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
RI 1	Research quota - share of R&I on the GDP	%	2.70	2011	3.00	2.76		Austria's R&I spending is steadily increasing. Accordingly, in the AT part of the progr. area, the research quota (RQ) amounted to 3,07%, in 2017, and thus slightly above the value of 2,95% of 2011. In the Czech part of the programme area, the RQ remained rather stable since 2011, at a level of 1,9%. Hence, positive developments can be detected as regards the whole progr. area, raising the RQ to a level of 2,76% in 2017. European structural funds are still deemed being drivers for growth. (Methodological note: Since 2012, Eurostat data on regional gross domestic product (PPS) is available for NUTS2 only (instead of NUTS3); data for 2019 is not yet available in the Eurostat database, while data for 2018 is available for some regions in the progr. area only; hence data for 2017 constitutes the most recent complete dataset and was thus considered for this AIR.)

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
RI 1	Research quota - share of R&I on the GDP	3.00		3.00		3.00		2.70	

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
RI 1	Research quota - share of R&I on the GDP	0.00	

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>1 - Strengthening research, technological development and innovation</b>
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies, as well as fostering investment necessary for strengthening the crisis response capacities in health services

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 1.1b**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	OP 3	Number of services to support enterprises' innovative capacity introduced or improved	Services	8.00	0.00	No services have been reported so far; tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of the projects in this investment priority.
S	OP 3	Number of services to support enterprises' innovative capacity introduced or improved	Services	8.00	8.00	3 services in 2019, 3 services in 2018, 1 service in 2017, 1 service in 2016 --> in sum 8 services have been selected as an indicator so far; tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
F	OP 4	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Enterprises	6.00	0.00	No enterprises have been reported so far; tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of the projects in this investment priority.
S	OP 4	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Enterprises	6.00	35.00	6 enterprises in 2019, 7 enterprises in 2018, 2 enterprises in 2017, 20 enterprises in 2016 --> in sum 35 enterprises have been selected so far; tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	OP 3	Number of services to support enterprises' innovative capacity introduced or improved	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	OP 3	Number of services to support enterprises' innovative capacity introduced or improved	5.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
F	OP 4	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	OP 4	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	29.00	22.00	20.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>1 - Strengthening research, technological development and innovation</b>
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies, as well as fostering investment necessary for strengthening the crisis response capacities in health services
Specific objective	1b - Fostering the involvement of enterprises (primarily SMEs) in the innovation system

**Table 1: Result indicators - 1.1b.1b**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
RI 2	R&I expenditure in the business sector in % of GDP	%	1.80	2011	2.20	1.82		<p>The R&amp;I expenditure in the business sector showed a rather positive development in the Austrian part of the programme area and amounted to 2.05% in 2017 (1.91% in 2011). In comparison, in the Czech part of the programme area, the development showed slightly growing tendencies (1.19% in 2017; 1.08% in 2011). Hence, the level of R&amp;I expenditure in the programme area is generally at a stable, satisfying level.</p> <p>(methodological note: Since 2012, Eurostat data on regional gross domestic product (PPS) is available for NUTS2 only (instead of NUTS3); data for 2019 is not yet available in the Eurostat database, while data for 2018 is available for some regions in the programme area only; hence data for 2017 constitutes the most recent complete dataset and was thus considered for this AIR)</p>

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
RI 2	R&I expenditure in the business sector in % of GDP	1.93		1.93		1.93		1.80	

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
RI 2	R&I expenditure in the business sector in % of GDP	0.00	

Priority axis	<b>2 - Environment and Resources</b>
Investment priority	<b>6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage</b>

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 2.6c**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	CO14	Roads: Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads	km	10.00	0.00	no kilometres were reported in 2019 --> in sum 0 kilometres of reconstructed or upgraded roads were reported so far
S	CO14	Roads: Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads	km	10.00	9.30	No kilometres in 2019, 9.3 kilometres in 2018 --> in sum 9.3 kilometres of reconstructed or upgraded roads were selected so far
F	OP 5	Number of cultural/natural heritage elements with improved attractiveness	Elements	8.00	1.00	1 element in 2019 (ATCZ143, report 2.1), no elements in 2018 in 2017 or 2016 --> in sum 1 cultural/natural heritage element with improved attractiveness was reported so far
S	OP 5	Number of cultural/natural heritage elements with improved attractiveness	Elements	8.00	20.00	2 elements in 2019, 8 elements in 2018, 10 elements in 2017 --> in sum 20 elements with improved attractiveness were selected tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
F	OP 6	Number of cross-border mechanisms to ensure joint management of common heritage	Mechanisms	12.00	0.00	no mechanisms in 2019 --> in sum 0 cross-border mechanisms to ensure joint management of common heritage have been reported so far
S	OP 6	Number of cross-border mechanisms to ensure joint management of common heritage	Mechanisms	12.00	13.00	1 mechanism in 2019, 3 mechanisms in 2018, 8 mechanisms in 2017, 1 mechanism in 2016 --> in sum 13 mechanisms have been selected so far; tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
F	OP 7	Number of newly built/improved elements of public touristic infrastructure	Elements	5.00	0.00	no elements in 2019 --> in sum 0 newly built/improved elements of public touristic infrastructure were reported so far
S	OP 7	Number of newly built/improved elements of public touristic infrastructure	Elements	5.00	9.00	2 elements in 2019, 3 elements in 2018, 4 elements in 2017 --> in sum 9 elements of newly built/improved public touristic infrastructure were selected so far - tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO14	Roads: Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	CO14	Roads: Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads	9.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	OP 5	Number of cultural/natural heritage elements with improved attractiveness	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	OP 5	Number of cultural/natural heritage elements with improved attractiveness	18.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	OP 6	Number of cross-border mechanisms to ensure joint management of common heritage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	OP 6	Number of cross-border mechanisms to ensure joint management of common heritage	13.00	9.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
F	OP 7	Number of newly built/improved elements of public touristic infrastructure	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	OP 7	Number of newly built/improved elements of public touristic infrastructure	7.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>2 - Environment and Resources</b>
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage
Specific objective	2a - Valorisation of the cultural and natural heritage of the common region in a sustainable way

**Table 1: Result indicators - 2.6c.2a**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
RI 3	Number of overnight stays in the region	Number	28,028,000.00	2013	31,500,000.00	33,689,934.00		<p>The tourism sector is of important significance for Austria's and the Czech Republic's economies. In Austria, out of federal states Lower Austria, Upper Austria and Vienna which belong to the programme area, it was the capital Vienna which recorded the highest share of overnight stays in 2019 (8,651,472). In the Czech Republic, out of Vysočina, Jihomoravský kraj and Jihočeský kraj, it was the latter, which registered the highest number of overnight stays in 2019 (4,475,809).</p> <p>(methodological note: for the years 2017 and 2018, the value of 2016 was maintained – in accordance with the CP)</p>

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
RI 3	Number of overnight stays in the region	31,861,315.00		31,861,315.00		31,861,315.00		2,802,800,000.00	

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
RI 3	Number of overnight stays in the region	0.00	

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>2 - Environment and Resources</b>
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 2.6d**

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	OP 8	Number of elements of green infrastructure realized	Elements	4.00	0.00	No elements in 2019 --> in sum 0 elements of green infrastructure realized have been reported so far
S	OP 8	Number of elements of green infrastructure realized	Elements	4.00	6.00	No elements in 2019, no elements in 2018, 4 elements in 2017, 2 elements in 2016 --> in sum 6 elements were selected so far; tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects. (Due to modifications of the projects the total sum of the selected elements decreased from 8 to 6).
F	OP 9	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of nature, landscape and biological species management	Mechanisms	10.00	0.00	No mechanisms in 2019 --> in sum 0 cross-border mechanisms in the field of nature, landscape and biological species management have been reported so far
S	OP 9	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of nature, landscape and biological species management	Mechanisms	10.00	15.00	No mechanisms in 2019, no mechanisms in 2018, 7 mechanisms in 2017 ((Due to modifications of the projects sum decreased from 12 to 7)., 8 mechanisms in 2016 --> in sum 15 mechanisms were selected so far; tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects.

(I)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	OP 8	Number of elements of green infrastructure realized	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	OP 8	Number of elements of green infrastructure realized	6.00	8.00	3.00	0.00	0.00
F	OP 9	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of nature, landscape and biological species management	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	OP 9	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of nature, landscape and biological species management	20.00	20.00	8.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>2 - Environment and Resources</b>
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure
Specific objective	2b - Increase of ecological stability and improvement of ecosystem services

**Table 1: Result indicators - 2.6d.2b**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
RI4	Share of weighings for category 4 and 5 measuring the quality of environment and ecosystem services	%	38.40		45.00	38.99		Acc. to the appr. CP this value should be reported in 2020 and determined by a survey, which is to be sent to experts from fields of environm., nature and landscape protection, climate change and such. As the Covid19 pandemic overshadowed the first half of 2020, where the survey for RI4 was originally planned, all stakeholders involved in the implementation of the programme were busy finding new ways of implementing cross-border projects, fulfilling determined goals and financial targets without delays. In respect of these matters, and in line with CRII+ proposing to elaborate an AIR "light" due to the given circumstances, the MA decided to postpone the conduction of the survey for 2021 where of course consequences of the Covid19 pandemic are to be expected, nevertheless a profound picture of the quality of environment and eco- services will be most likely given.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
RI4	Share of weighings for category 4 and 5 measuring the quality of environment and ecosystem services	38.99		38.99		38.99		38.40	

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
RI4	Share of weighings for category 4 and 5 measuring the quality of environment and ecosystem services	0.00	

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>2 - Environment and Resources</b>
Investment priority	6f - Promoting innovative technologies to improve environmental protection and resource efficiency in the waste sector, water sector and with regard to soil, or to reduce air pollution

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 2.6f**

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	OP 10	Number of eco-innovations introduced in the cross-border area	Eco-innovations	3.00	0.00	No eco-innovation in 2019 --> in sum 0 eco-innovations have been reported so far
S	OP 10	Number of eco-innovations introduced in the cross-border area	Eco-innovations	3.00	2.00	1 eco-innovation in 2019, no eco-innovation in 2018, no eco-innovation in 2017, 1 eco-innovation in 2016 --> in sum 2 eco-innovations have been selected so far; tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
F	OP 11	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of eco-innovations	Mechanisms	3.00	0.00	No mechanism in 2019 --> in sum 0 mechanisms have been reported so far
S	OP 11	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of eco-innovations	Mechanisms	3.00	4.00	1 mechanism in 2019, 2 mechanisms in 2018, no mechanism in 2017, 1 mechanism in 2016 --> in sum 4 mechanisms have been selected so far; tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects.

(I)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	OP 10	Number of eco-innovations introduced in the cross-border area	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	OP 10	Number of eco-innovations introduced in the cross-border area	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
F	OP 11	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of eco-innovations	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	OP 11	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of eco-innovations	3.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]



<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>2 - Environment and Resources</b>
Investment priority	6f - Promoting innovative technologies to improve environmental protection and resource efficiency in the waste sector, water sector and with regard to soil, or to reduce air pollution
Specific objective	2c - Fostering the utilisation of eco-innovative potential of the region

**Table 1: Result indicators - 2.6f.2c**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
RI5	Share of weighings for category 4 and 5 measuring the level of eco-innovation activities	%	62.40	2015	75.00	63.90		Acc. to the appr. CP this value should be reported in 2020 and determined by a survey, which is to be sent to experts from fields of environm., nature and landscape protection and such. As the Covid19 pandemic overshadowed the first half of 2020, where the survey for RI5 was originally planned, all stakeholders involved in the implementation of the programme were busy finding new ways of implementing cross-border projects, fulfilling determined goals and financial targets without delays. In respect of these matters, and in line with CRII+ proposing to elaborate an AIR "light" due to the given circumstances, the MA decided to postpone the conduction of the survey for the year 2021 where of course consequences of the Covid19 pandemic are to be expected,nevertheless a profound and complete picture of the level of eco-innovation activities will be most likely given.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
RI5	Share of weighings for category 4 and 5 measuring the level of eco-innovation activities	63.90		63.90		63.90		62.40	

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
RI5	Share of weighings for category 4 and 5 measuring the level of eco-innovation activities	0.00	

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>3 - Human resources development</b>
Investment priority	10a - Investing in education, training and vocational training for skills and lifelong learning by developing education and training infrastructure

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 3.10a**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	CO46	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint education and training schemes to support youth employment, educational opportunities and higher and vocational education across borders	Persons	700.00	1,177.00	2019: 518 participants (ATCZ5 report 3.1 (33); ATCZ62 report 2.1 (27); ATCZ65 report 4.1 (438) and report 5.1 (20); 2018: 641 participants (ATCZ5 reports 1.1 (13) and report 2.1 (50); ATCZ35 reports 1.1 (303) and 2.1 (117); ATCZ62 report 1.1 (23); ATCZ65 report 2.1 (3) and report 3.1 (132)); 2017: 18 participants (ATCZ65 report 1.1); 2016: 0 participants --> in sum 1177 participants in joint education and training schemes to support youth employment, educational opportunities and higher and vocational education across borders have been reported so far.
S	CO46	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint education and training schemes to support youth employment, educational opportunities and higher and vocational education across borders	Persons	700.00	2,053.00	848 persons in 2019, 752 persons in 2018, no persons in 2017, 453 persons in 2016 --> 2053 persons have been selected as an indicator so far; tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
F	OP 12	Number of cross-border mechanisms to promote joint training and education	Mechanisms	6.00	2.00	2 mechanisms in 2019 (ATCZ35 report 4.1), no mechanisms in 2018, no mechanisms in 2017, no mechanisms in 2016 --> in sum 2 mechanisms have been reported so far
S	OP 12	Number of cross-border mechanisms to promote joint training and education	Mechanisms	6.00	10.00	4 mechanisms in 2019, 1 mechanism in 2018, no mechanism in 2017, 5 mechanisms in 2016 --> in sum 10 mechanisms have been selected so far; tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO46	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint education and training schemes to support youth employment, educational opportunities and higher and vocational education across borders	656.00	334.00	13.00	0.00	0.00
S	CO46	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint education and training schemes to support youth employment, educational opportunities and higher and vocational education across borders	1,205.00	453.00	453.00	0.00	0.00
F	OP 12	Number of cross-border mechanisms to promote joint training and education	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	OP 12	Number of cross-border mechanisms to promote joint training and education	6.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>3 - Human resources development</b>
Investment priority	10a - Investing in education, training and vocational training for skills and lifelong learning by developing education and training infrastructure
Specific objective	3a - Extension of common supply of education and qualification activities in order to utilize human resources potential in cross-border region

**Table 1: Result indicators - 3.10a.3a**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
R16	Joint education activities and qualification supply	number	75.00	2015	100.00	133.00		Acc. to the appr. CP this value should be reported in 2020 and determ. by a questionnaire, which is to be sent by the NA to represent. of primary, secondary, vocational training schools and universities in the region. As the Covid19 pandemic overshadowed the first half of 2020, educational institutions such as schools and universities were in a mode of online-learning (home schooling) during that time. The conduction of a survey would have meant a huge administrative burden for the staff involved. In respect of these matters, and in line with CRII+ proposing to elaborate an AIR "light" due to the given circumstances, the MA decided to postpone the conduction of the survey for 2021 where of course consequences of the Covid19 pandemic are to be expected, but a profound picture of the level of joint educ. activities and qualification supply will be most likely given.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
R16	Joint education activities and qualification supply	133.00		133.00		104.00		75.00	

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
R16	Joint education activities and qualification supply	0.00	

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>4 - Sustainable networks and institutional cooperation</b>
<b>Investment priority</b>	11a - Enhancing institutional capacity of public authorities and stakeholders and efficient public administration through actions to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administrations and public services related to the implementation of the ERDF, and in support of actions under the ESF to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administration

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 4.11a**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	OP12	(Project)Partners responsible in form and content involved in cross-border activities	Partners	320.00	697.00	2019: 483 partners in 2019 (ATCZ68 report 2.1 (1), ATCZ100 report 4.1 (2); ATCZ49 report 3.1 (251) und report 4.1 (229), 2018: 203 partners (ATCZ68 report 1.1 (1); ATCZ125 report 1.1 (2); ATCZ249 report 1.1 (5) and report 2.1 (155)) 2017: 2 partners (ATCZ100 report 1.1 (2)); 2016: 9 partners (ATCZ1 report 2.1 (6); ATCZ22 report 1.1 (3) -> in sum 697 project partners responsible in form and content involved in cross-border activities were reported so far
S	OP12	(Project)Partners responsible in form and content involved in cross-border activities	Partners	320.00	531.00	9 partners in 2019, 0 partners in 2018, 513 partners in 2017 (due to modifications in projects the sum for the year 2017 changed from 313 to 513), 9 partners in 2016 --> in sum 531 project partners have been selected as an indicator so far, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	OP12	(Project)Partners responsible in form and content involved in cross-border activities	265.00	16.00	14.00	0.00	0.00
S	OP12	(Project)Partners responsible in form and content involved in cross-border activities	322.00	322.00	9.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>4 - Sustainable networks and institutional cooperation</b>
Investment priority	11a - Enhancing institutional capacity of public authorities and stakeholders and efficient public administration through actions to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administrations and public services related to the implementation of the ERDF, and in support of actions under the ESF to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administration
Specific objective	4a - Fostering cross-border cooperation of communities and institutions in joint regions

**Table 1: Result indicators - 4.11a.4a**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
RI 7	Share of weighings for categories 4 (above average) and 5 (intensive) measuring the level of cooperation/ integration	%	22.00	2014	30.00	20.77		Acc. to the appr. CP this value should be reported in 2020 and determined by a survey, which is to be sent to experts from fields of public admin., municipalities, enterprises, research, schools and such. As the Covid19 pandemic overshadowed the first half of 2020, where the survey for RI7 was originally planned, all stakeholders involved in the implementation of the programme were busy finding new ways of implementing cbc projects, fulfilling determined goals and financial targets without delays. In respect of these matters, and in line with CRII+ proposing to elaborate an AIR "light" due to the given circumstances, the MA decided to postpone the conduction of the survey for 2021 where of course consequences of the Covid19 pandemic are to be expected, but a profound picture of the quality of the level of cooperation/ integration will be most likely given.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
RI 7	Share of weighings for categories 4 (above average) and 5 (intensive) measuring the level of cooperation/ integration	20.77		20.77		20.77		22.00	

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
RI 7	Share of weighings for categories 4 (above average) and 5 (intensive) measuring the level of cooperation/ integration	0.00	

## Priority axes for technical assistance

Priority axis	5 - Technical assistance
---------------	--------------------------

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 5.Technical assistance**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	O 17	Number of compulsory information events for beneficiaries at project	Number	12.00	7.00	1 event in 2019, 2 events in 2018, 4 in 2017--> in sum 7 events have been organised so far
S	O 17	Number of compulsory information events for beneficiaries at project	Number	12.00	12.00	No events in 2019, no events in 2018, no events in 2017, 12 in 2016 --> in sum 12 events have been selected as an indicator so far
F	OP 15	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	Number	200.00	1.00	1 project (ATCZ68) was closed in 2019, no projects were closed either in 2018, 2017 or 2016. For this indicator only an administrative project closure is relevant, meaning only if the project was "finalised" in eMS, then it is numbered among this indicator.
S	OP 15	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	Number	200.00	1.00	1 project (ATCZ68) was closed in 2019, no projects were closed either in 2018, 2017 or 2016. For this indicator only an administrative project closure is relevant, meaning only if the project was "finalised" in eMS, then it is numbered among this indicator.
F	OP 16	Number of major publicity events (P)	Numbers	2.00	3.00	1 major publicity event in 2019, no in 2018, 1 event in 2016 and 1 in 2017 □ in sum 3 events were reported so far
S	OP 16	Number of major publicity events (P)	Numbers	2.00	2.00	No events in 2019, no events in 2018, no events in 2017, 2 events in 2016 --> 2 major publicity events have been selected as an output indicator so far
F	OP 18	Number of employees (full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance "	Full time equivalents	15.00	12.84	2019: 0,50 (ATCZ103 report 3.1) 2018: 12,34 (ATCZ69 report 1.1 (6,09); ATCZ104 report 1.1 (2); ATCZ103 report 2.1 (4,25) ) 2017: 0 FTE --> In sum 12,84 FTE have been reported so far.
S	OP 18	Number of employees (full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance "	Full time equivalents	15.00	12.25	No more in 2019, no more in 2018, no more in 2017, 12.25 in 2016 --> so far 12.25 full time equivalents have been selected

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	O 17	Number of compulsory information events for beneficiaries at project	6.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	O 17	Number of compulsory information events for beneficiaries at project	12.00	12.00	12.00	0.00	0.00
F	OP 15	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	OP 15	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	OP 16	Number of major publicity events (P)	2.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
S	OP 16	Number of major publicity events (P)	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00
F	OP 18	Number of employees (full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance "	12.25	12.25	12.25	0.00	0.00
S	OP 18	Number of employees (full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance "	12.25	12.25	12.25	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

### 3.3 Table 3: Information on the milestones and targets defined in the performance framework

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Milestone for 2018 total	Final target (2023) total	2019	Observations
1	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	1791939	14,685,062.00	5,150,334.50	
1	O	OP 2	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	2	15.00	22.00	
1	O	OP 3	Number of services to support enterprises' innovative capacity introduced or improved	Services	1	8.00	0.00	
2	O	CO14	Roads: Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads	km	2	10.00	0.00	
2	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	6 520 356	53,434,764.00	3,903,636.52	
2	O	OP 5	Number of cultural/natural heritage elements with improved attractiveness	Elements	1	8.00	1.00	
2	O	OP 9	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of nature, landscape and biological species management	Mechanisms	2	10.00	0.00	
3	O	CO46	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint education and training schemes to support youth employment, educational opportunities and higher and vocational education across borders	Persons	100	700.00	1,177.00	
3	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	1 963 177	16,088,368.00	2,381,301.82	
3	O	OP 12	Number of cross-border mechanisms to promote joint training and education	Mechanisms	1	6.00	2.00	
4	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	2,924,151	23,963,618.00	2,397,730.91	
4	O	OP12	(Project)Partners responsible in form and content involved in cross-border activities	Partners	40	320.00	697.00	

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
1	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	2,382,713.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	O	OP 2	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	17.00	9.00	3.00	0.00	0.00
1	O	OP 3	Number of services to support enterprises' innovative capacity introduced or improved	Services	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	O	CO14	Roads: Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads	km	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	807,782.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	O	OP 5	Number of cultural/natural heritage elements with improved attractiveness	Elements	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	O	OP 9	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of nature, landscape and biological species management	Mechanisms	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	O	CO46	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint education and training schemes to support youth employment, educational opportunities and higher and vocational education across borders	Persons	656.00	334.00	13.00	0.00	0.00
3	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	1,315,037.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	O	OP 12	Number of cross-border mechanisms to promote joint training and education	Mechanisms	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	734,819.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	O	OP12	(Project)Partners responsible in form and content involved in cross-border activities	Partners	265.00	16.00	14.00	0.00	0.00

### 3.4. Financial data

**Table 4: Financial information at priority axis and programme level**

As set out in Table 1 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and table 16 of model for cooperation programmes under the European territorial cooperation goal

Priority axis	Fund	Calculation basis	Total funding	Co-financing rate	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Proportion of the total allocation covered with selected operations	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Proportion of the total allocation covered by eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	Total	14,685,062.00	85.00	13,270,094.83	90.36%	12,982,858.78	5,150,628.46	35.07%	13
2	ERDF	Total	53,434,764.00	85.00	44,290,730.58	82.89%	43,788,848.29	4,350,685.08	8.14%	22
3	ERDF	Total	16,088,368.00	85.00	9,423,299.04	58.57%	9,302,164.17	2,382,867.50	14.81%	6
4	ERDF	Total	23,963,618.00	85.00	14,895,714.00	62.16%	14,887,928.00	2,401,102.67	10.02%	8
5	ERDF	Total	6,904,584.00	85.00	6,250,068.78	90.52%	6,250,068.78	1,193,790.24	17.29%	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>ERDF</b>		<b>115,076,396.00</b>	<b>85.00</b>	<b>88,129,907.23</b>	<b>76.58%</b>	<b>87,211,868.02</b>	<b>15,479,073.95</b>	<b>13.45%</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>Grand total</b>			<b>115,076,396.00</b>	<b>85.00</b>	<b>88,129,907.23</b>	<b>76.58%</b>	<b>87,211,868.02</b>	<b>15,479,073.95</b>	<b>13.45%</b>	<b>56</b>



**Where applicable, the use of any contribution from third countries participating in the cooperation programme should be provided (for example IPA and ENI, Norway, Switzerland)**

Not applicable

**Table 5: Breakdown of the cumulative financial data by category of intervention**

As set out in Table 2 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and tables 6-9 of Model for cooperation programmes

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	058	01	01	07	01		20	CZ031	1,253,423.40	1,253,423.40	1,079,025.34	1
1	ERDF	058	01	02	07	01		20	AT312	1,581,850.87	1,581,850.87	1,173,249.89	1
1	ERDF	060	01	01	07	01		08	CZ064	1,012,218.21	1,012,218.21	22,882.58	1
1	ERDF	060	01	01	07	01		20	AT124	1,773,774.62	1,761,405.26	389,086.53	1
1	ERDF	060	01	01	07	01		20	AT130	1,494,782.97	1,367,444.81	964,030.94	1
1	ERDF	060	01	01	07	01		24	CZ064	513,468.91	513,468.91	5,000.00	1
1	ERDF	060	01	02	07	01		22	AT312	869,488.23	869,488.23	461,110.72	1
1	ERDF	060	01	02	07	01		24	AT312	622,303.67	622,303.67	466,579.44	1
1	ERDF	062	01	01	07	01		08	AT130	700,643.88	700,643.88	89,127.28	1
1	ERDF	062	01	01	07	01		13	AT130	653,123.71	653,123.71	138,197.24	1
1	ERDF	062	01	01	07	01		13	CZ064	939,942.28	939,942.28	5,000.00	1
1	ERDF	062	01	02	07	01		11	AT124	1,195,659.09	1,100,617.12	5,000.00	1
1	ERDF	063	01	01	07	01		24	AT314	659,414.99	606,928.43	352,338.50	1
3	ERDF	118	01	01	07	10		19	CZ031	1,154,987.50	1,154,987.50	650,348.08	2
3	ERDF	118	01	02	07	10		19	AT123	4,547,031.16	4,461,991.03	887,731.11	1
3	ERDF	118	01	02	07	10		19	AT124	451,388.27	451,388.27	0.00	1
3	ERDF	118	01	03	07	10		19	AT124	1,820,174.20	1,820,174.20	21,297.92	1
3	ERDF	118	01	03	07	10		19	CZ063	1,449,717.91	1,413,623.17	823,490.39	1
4	ERDF	119	01	01	07	11		23	CZ031	585,773.07	585,773.07	5,000.00	1
4	ERDF	119	01	02	07	11		20	AT123	1,362,002.89	1,362,002.89	361,229.96	2
4	ERDF	119	01	02	07	11		21	AT313	197,820.15	190,034.15	135,639.08	1
4	ERDF	119	01	02	07	11		24	CZ063	1,563,726.77	1,563,726.77	373,495.93	1
4	ERDF	119	01	02	07	11		24	CZ064	379,558.21	379,558.21	5,000.00	1
4	ERDF	119	01	03	07	11		21	CZ063	228,799.87	228,799.87	95,720.55	1
4	ERDF	119	01	03	07	11		24	CZ031	10,578,033.04	10,578,033.04	1,425,017.15	1
2	ERDF	013	01	03	07	06		10	CZ064	1,162,862.00	1,162,862.00	5,000.00	1
2	ERDF	017	01	01	07	06		11	AT312	919,475.75	848,432.40	5,000.00	1
2	ERDF	017	01	01	07	06		11	CZ063	999,700.26	957,676.86	713,782.90	1
2	ERDF	034	01	03	07	06		23	CZ031	5,546,833.00	5,546,833.00	614,425.25	1
2	ERDF	085	01	03	07	06		22	AT125	2,171,069.09	2,171,069.09	68,545.68	1
2	ERDF	085	01	03	07	06		22	CZ031	1,663,826.96	1,663,826.96	652,588.74	1
2	ERDF	085	01	03	07	06		22	CZ064	1,756,833.88	1,756,833.88	137,502.96	1
2	ERDF	086	01	03	07	06		22	CZ031	1,259,694.94	1,259,694.94	47,275.00	1
2	ERDF	087	01	01	07	06		22	AT130	5,883,953.55	5,883,953.55	209,960.33	1
2	ERDF	087	01	03	07	06		22	AT123	1,002,493.48	995,504.78	143,874.80	1
2	ERDF	090	01	03	07	06		23	CZ064	1,373,096.81	1,373,096.81	5,000.00	1
2	ERDF	091	01	03	07	06		22	CZ031	3,452,297.09	3,452,297.09	5,000.00	1
2	ERDF	091	01	03	07	06		23	AT125	1,870,030.04	1,870,030.04	114,309.89	1
2	ERDF	091	01	03	07	06		23	AT313	1,000,210.45	960,146.74	126,779.53	1
2	ERDF	091	01	03	07	06		23	CZ064	498,328.73	498,328.73	166,630.55	1
2	ERDF	092	01	02	07	06		08	CZ064	3,248,218.64	3,218,601.79	136,223.62	1
2	ERDF	092	01	02	07	06		23	CZ031	2,553,344.46	2,455,092.42	66,456.24	1
2	ERDF	092	01	03	07	06		23	AT313	2,349,223.39	2,135,329.15	324,909.04	1
2	ERDF	092	01	03	07	06		23	CZ063	3,297,794.59	3,297,794.59	125,675.47	2
2	ERDF	093	01	01	07	06		23	AT130	1,156,082.64	1,156,082.64	534,798.88	1
2	ERDF	093	01	03	07	06		23	CZ031	1,125,360.83	1,125,360.83	146,946.20	1
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			18	AT123	4,118,314.00	4,118,314.00	484,002.01	2
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			18	CZ010	2,061,164.78	2,061,164.78	692,984.41	2
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			18	CZ031	23,530.00	23,530.00	5,497.48	1
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			18	CZ063	23,530.00	23,530.00	5,574.04	1
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			18	CZ064	23,530.00	23,530.00	5,732.30	1

**Table 6: Cumulative cost of all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area**

1. Operation (2)	2. The amount of ERDF support(1) envisaged to be used for all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area based on selected operations	3. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 2/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)	4. Eligible expenditure of ERDF support incurred in all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area declared by the beneficiary to the managing authority	5. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 4/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)
------------------	---	---	--	---

(1) ERDF support is the Commission decision on the respective cooperation programme.

(2) In accordance with and subject to ceilings set out Article 20 of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013.

## 4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS

2019 was dedicated to the impact assessment of the projects in the four priority axes. In concrete terms the working packages 1-3 were evaluated.

### **WP1 – Communication strategy**

The main findings of the evaluation show that the **strategy** is fit for purpose, but also leaves some room for improvements:

Some of the **mandatory elements** of the communication strategy still need better coverage and improvements (especially a support for beneficiaries in their communication activities, information on staff resources, and information on the assessment of measures).

With the Interreg logo and common appearance of the communication a corporate identity is ensured. However, the attractiveness of the graphic appearance (corporate design) of the programme (website, programme manuals, etc.) is assessed rather low and could be improved. Here it needs to be mentioned that in the meantime the website has been improved is more attractive now.

The (programme-) **internal communication** has been judged by the interviewees to be very good, as various communication channels ensure good information flows and prove to be also effective for problem solving. The roles between the communication actors are well defined and regular coordination takes place.

**External communication** to stakeholders, potential and actual applicants and project promoters is according to plan and functional. As in many cooperation programmes, the strategy is based on a classical concept with the organisation of different events and seminars, a website, publicity material like roll-ups or give-aways, press releases and different publications. In addition, some social media presence has been set up.

### **WP2 – The need of the area and intervention logic**

The ongoing evaluation showed that the programme region has performed well since the beginning of the programming period for most of the analysed indicators. However, (global) developments have significant impacts on the region and on the programme implementation. In several sectors (e.g. climate change, education) the cooperation programme has given important impulses for positive development to improve the competitiveness, etc. for the benefit of the people, organisations, businesses and regions.

**Main challenges** that can be addressed by Interreg are the following:

In terms of **climate change** cross-border cooperation in this field is already well advanced and can therefore effectively address issues arising from climate change, such as disaster risk assessment and management, or flood protection.

**Energy efficiency** is a challenge for cooperation, as both countries have a high consumption of energy. Knowledge sharing, joint analysis, studies and practical projects, and support for energy related R&D and the development of joint actions to support energy efficiency are possible areas for cooperation.

**Waste treatment** is a specific problem in the border region, particularly on the Czech side of the border, where the recycling rate of municipal waste remains below EU average. As Austrian regions show a high standard of waste treatment, joint efforts could tackle this issue, e.g. through an in-depth analysis of cross-border potential in waste treatment, developing cross-border waste treatment plans, sharing capacity.

**Digitalisation** may help to stimulate positive effects in the border region.

The heterogeneity of the geographic area of the AT-CZ programme region creates a **difference in needs of urban as well as rural areas**. While urban areas profit from well-functioning cooperation in the fields of research, development and innovation, rural areas are more in need of infrastructure projects, especially innovative mobility.

**Development opportunities** lie in the better use of **research, development and innovation** to overcome barriers and stimulate cooperation.

### **WP3 – Administrative burden**

The **programme administration** works well: the internal cooperation and distribution of roles between the different programme actors are functional. Even though resources are scarce, the main tasks can be achieved without significant problems.

Overall, the programme documents and procedures are well prepared, matched to the requirements and rather simple (following the results of the survey). The programme implementation with the checks and assessments of application to the approval is functioning well. However, there is still some room for improvement in the transparency of project selection.

The finalisation of the working packages 4-7 and of the summarizing part is planned for the first half of 2020.

Name	Fund	From month	From year	To month	To year	Type of evaluation	Thematic objective	Topic	Findings
------	------	------------	-----------	----------	---------	--------------------	--------------------	-------	----------

## 5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN

### **(a) Issues which affect the performance of the programme and the measures taken**

In 2019, no major issues negatively affected the performance of the programme. Progress was achieved as regards the general performance regarding the fulfilment of the performance framework – nonetheless, delays due to the late start of the programme (in 2016 only) can still be perceived.

As regards the audit level, the Audit Authority carried out first SLC checks in 2019 – embracing audits of 4 Czech partner institutions, 3 Austrian partner institutions as well as a system audit on the Czech side. The assurance package was successfully submitted in time.

As regards progress in financial terms, the programme was generally able to reach its payment goals. Nonetheless, despite the level of committed funds is rather high, the level of spending at project level still leaves some room for improvement. Thus, the programme bodies regularly encourage the Lead Partners to submit their progress reports on project level, without waiting for finalized partner reports plus control certificated for all partners. This mainly aims at avoiding cumulative retardations, resulting from (regionally) different paces of project implementation and control.

Generally, MA /JS strive towards enhancing communication and cooperation with the programme actors and control bodies, particularly for accelerating spending at project level and programme level. For achieving this, as quality assurance measures, FLC meetings was / will be pursued on a regular basis and a major emphasis was / will be put on seminars for Lead partners / project partners.

In 2019, no major macroeconomic, societal or political challenges in the region, such as a crisis, a mass movement or a pandemic, had direct influence on the programme.



**(b) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, otherwise it will be included in point 9.1. An assessment of whether progress made towards targets is sufficient to ensure their fulfilment, indicating any remedial actions taken or planned, where appropriate.**

General assessment of the current programme performance:

By the end of 2019, the programme had a level of committed funds of 86%, which constitutes a satisfactory level. The programme is hence “on track”.

The programme will be able to achieve the output indicators as defined in of the performance framework. Most indicators will already be achieved by the projects, which were approved until the end of 2019.

## **6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)**

A citizen's summary of the contents of the annual and the final implementation reports shall be made public and uploaded as a separate file in the form of annex to the annual and the final implementation report

You can upload/find the Citizen's summary under General > Documents

**7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)**

**8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)**

**8.1. Major projects**

**Table 7: Major projects**

Project	CCI	Status of MP	Total investments	Total eligible costs	Planned notification/submission date	Date of tacit agreement/ approval by Commission	Planned start of implementation	Planned completion date	Priority Axis/ Investment priorities	Current state of realisation — financial progress (% of expenditure certified to Commission compared to total eligible cost)	Current state of realisation — physical progress Main implementation stage of the project	Main outputs	Date of signature of first works contract (1)	Observations
---------	-----	--------------	-------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	---	--------------	---	--------------

(1) In the case of operations implemented under PPP structures the signing of the PPP contract between the public body and the private sector body (Article 102(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).

**Significant problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures taken to overcome them**

Not applicable

**Any change planned in the list of major projects in the cooperation programme**

Not applicable

## 8.2. Joint action plans

Progress in the implementation of different stages of joint action plans

Not applicable

**Table 8: Joint action plans (JAP)**

Title of the JAP	CCI	Stage of implementation of JAP	Total eligible costs	Total public support	OP contribution to JAP	Priority axis	Type of JAP	[Planned] submission to the Commission	[Planned] start of implementation	[Planned] completion	Main outputs and results	Total eligible expenditure certified to the Commission	Observations
------------------	-----	--------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	------------------------	---------------	-------------	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--	--------------

**Significant problems encountered and measures taken to overcome them**

Not applicable

**9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)**

**9.1 Information in Part A and achieving the objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)**

Priority axis	1 - Strengthening research, technological development and innovation
---------------	--

--

Priority axis	2 - Environment and Resources
---------------	-------------------------------

--

Priority axis	3 - Human resources development
---------------	---------------------------------

--

Priority axis	4 - Sustainable networks and institutional cooperation
---------------	--

--

Priority axis	5 - Technical assistance
---------------	--------------------------

--



**9.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (d) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)**

An assessment of the implementation of specific actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 7 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on promotion of equality between men and women and non-discrimination, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, including accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations

--

**9.3.Sustainable development (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)**

An assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on sustainable development, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of the actions taken to promote sustainable development in accordance with that Article

--

**9.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)**

Calculated amount of support to be used for climate change objectives based on the cumulative financial data by category of intervention in Table 7

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>Amount of support to be used for climate change objectives (EUR)</b>	<b>Proportion of total allocation to the operational programme (%)</b>
2	10,338,529.33	22.76%
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,338,529.33</b>	<b>10.57%</b>

--

**9.5 Role of partners in the implementation of the cooperation programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 1, (c) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)**

Assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the role of partners referred to in Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013, including involvement of the partners in the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the cooperation programme

--

**10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013**

**10.1 Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of evaluations**

--

Status	Name	Fund	Year of finalizing evaluation	Type of evaluation	Thematic objective	Topic	Findings (in case of execution)	Follow up (in case of execution)
--------	------	------	-------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	-------	---------------------------------	----------------------------------

**10.2 The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy**

--

**11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013)**

**11.1. Progress in the implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including integrated territorial investments, sustainable urban development, and community led local development under the cooperation programme**

--



**11.2 Progress in implementation of actions to reinforce the capacity of authorities and beneficiaries to administer and to use the ERDF**

--

### 11.3 Contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies (where appropriate)

As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013, recital 19, article 8(3)(d) on the "Content, adoption and amendment of cooperation programmes" and article 14(4) 2nd subparagraph (c) "Implementation reports", this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:

The Interreg programme AT-CZ addresses selected objectives of the EUDRS. The programme implementation shows promising contributions to the respective priority areas and respective EUDRS-actions. Specifically, the territorial cross-border approach sets the programme apart from other funding sources that support interventions that are aligned with the EUDRS.

The programme addresses one priority area ("*To promote culture and tourism, people-to-people contacts*") of the EUDRS-pillar "**Connecting the Danube region**" with one Investment Priority (6c).

The respective specific objective (SO2a) aims for sustainable valorisation of the region's cultural and natural heritage. With a total share of 33% of the programme ERDF-funds, the programme puts an emphasis on this issue. In sum, 15 projects with € 26.060.263,- as committed ERDF funds were approved by the end of 2019.

The programme addresses three priority areas of the pillar "**Protecting the environment in the Danube region**" with one Investment Priority (6d). These areas are: *To restore and maintain the quality of the water, To manage environmental risks, To preserve biodiversity, landscapes and the quality of air and soil.* The respective specific objective (SO2b) aims at increasing ecological stability and improving the ecosystem services. 8% of the available ERDF-funds are allocated to this specific objective. By the end of 2019, 5 projects supporting the specific objective 2b were submitted and approved. With a commitment rate of 119 % (€ 8.864.522,-) more than the originally allocated funds are committed. The cross-border approach shows positive structural effects by supporting long-term partnerships (e.g. national parks) which implement coordinated actions for common interests. Project results are included in national and institutional strategies.

The programme addresses two priority areas of the pillar "**Building prosperity in the Danube region**" with three Investment Priorities: two IPs within priority 1 (1a and 1b) and one IP within priority 3 (10a). The respective specific objectives aim for (i) improving and extending research and innovation capacities (SO1a), fostering the involvement of enterprises (primarily SMEs) in the innovation system (SO1b) and extending the common supply of education and qualification activities in order to utilize human resources potential in cross-border region (SO3a). In total, 27% of the available ERDF-funds are allocated to these specific objectives.

By the end of 2019, 26 projects have been approved that support this EUDRS pillar (10 within IP 1a, 7 within IP 1b and 9 within IP10a). Commitment rates of the available ERDF-funds vary between 97 % and 123 %.

The priority areas of this pillar are: *To develop the knowledge society through research education and information technologies and To invest in people and skills.*

- EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region (EUSBSR)
- EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)
- EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)
- EU Strategy for the Alpine Region (EUSALP)
- Atlantic Sea Basin Strategy (ATLSBS)

**The pillar(s) and priority area(s) that the programme is relevant to:**

	<b>Pillar</b>	<b>Priority area</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.1 - Mobility - waterways
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.2 - Mobility - rail, road & air
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.3 - Energy
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.4 - Culture and tourism
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region	2.1 - Water quality
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region	2.2 - Environmental risks
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region	2.3 - Biodiversity, landscapes, air and soil quality
<input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region	3.1 - Knowledge society
<input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region	3.2 - Competitiveness
<input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region	3.3 - People & skills
<input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Strengthening the Danube region	4.1 - Institutional capacity & cooperation
<input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Strengthening the Danube region	4.2 - Security

**Actions or mechanisms used to better link the programme with the EUSDR**

**A. Are macro-regional coordinators (mainly National Coordinators, Priority Area Coordinators or Steering Group members) participating in the Monitoring Committee of the programme?**

Yes  No

**B. In selection criteria, have extra points been attributed to specific measures supporting the EUSDR?**

Yes  No

**C. Has the programme invested EU funds in the EUSDR?**

Yes  No

**D. Obtained results in relation to the EUSDR (n.a. for 2016)**

**E. Does the programme contribute to the targets as validated by the national coordinators and priority area coordinators in 2016 (uploaded on the EUSDR website)? (Please specify the target(s))**

## **11.4 Progress in the implementation of actions in the field of social innovation**

--

### **13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH**

Information and assessment of the programme contribution to achieving the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

--

**14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN  
— PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)**

Where the assessment of progress made with regard to the milestones and targets set out in the performance framework demonstrates that certain milestones and targets have not been achieved, Member States should outline the underlying reasons for failure to achieve these milestones in the report of 2019 (for milestones) and in the final implementation report (for targets).

--

## DOCUMENTS

Document title	Document type	Document date	Local reference	Commission reference	Files	Sent date	Sent By
ATCZ_Citizens Summary_2019_DE	Citizens' summary	27-Aug-2020		Ares(2020)4790061	ATCZ_Citizens Summary_2019_DE	14-Sep-2020	n002zjih
ATCZ_Citizens Summary_2019_CZ	Citizens' summary	27-Aug-2020		Ares(2020)4790061	ATCZ_Citizens Summary_2019_CZ	14-Sep-2020	n002zjih



## LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS

Severity	Code	Message
Info		Implementation report version has been validated
Warning	2.37	In Section 'Macro-regional and sea basin strategies' at least one relevance should be selected for strategy EUSDR
Warning	2.38	In Section 'Macro-regional and sea basin strategies' questions A, B and C should be answered for strategy EUSDR
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1a, specific objective: 1a, indicator: RI 1, year: 2014 (0.00 < 2.70). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, specific objective: 1b, indicator: RI 2, year: 2014 (0.00 < 1.80). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, specific objective: 2a, indicator: RI 3, year: 2014 (0.00 < 28,028,000.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6d, specific objective: 2b, indicator: RI4, year: 2014 (0.00 < 38.40). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6f, specific objective: 2c, indicator: RI5, year: 2014 (0.00 < 62.40). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 3, investment priority: 10a, specific objective: 3a, indicator: RI6, year: 2014 (0.00 < 75.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11a, specific objective: 4a, indicator: RI 7, year: 2014 (0.00 < 22.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11a, specific objective: 4a, indicator: RI 7, year: 2016 (20.77 < 22.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11a, specific objective: 4a, indicator: RI 7, year: 2017 (20.77 < 22.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11a, specific objective: 4a, indicator: RI 7, year: 2018 (20.77 < 22.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11a, specific objective: 4a, indicator: RI 7, year: 2019 (20.77 < 22.00). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, specific objective: 2a, indicator: RI 3, year: 2015 (2,802,800,000.00 > 31,500,000.00). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, specific objective: 2a, indicator: RI 3, year: 2016 (31,861,315.00 > 31,500,000.00). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, specific objective: 2a, indicator: RI 3, year: 2017 (31,861,315.00 > 31,500,000.00). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, specific objective: 2a, indicator: RI 3, year: 2018 (31,861,315.00 > 31,500,000.00). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, specific objective: 2a, indicator: RI 3, year: 2019 (33,689,934.00 > 31,500,000.00). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: 3, investment priority: 10a, specific objective: 3a, indicator: RI6, year: 2016 (104.00 > 100.00). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: 3, investment priority: 10a, specific objective: 3a, indicator: RI6, year: 2017 (133.00 > 100.00). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: 3, investment priority: 10a, specific objective: 3a, indicator: RI6, year: 2018 (133.00 > 100.00). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: 3, investment priority: 10a, specific objective: 3a, indicator: RI6, year: 2019 (133.00 > 100.00). Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 100.63% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11a, indicator: OP12, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 100.63% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11a, indicator: OP12, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 108.33% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, indicator: OP 6, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 108.33% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, indicator: OP 6, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 125.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, indicator: OP 5, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 133.33% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6f, indicator: OP 11, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 140.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, indicator: OP 7, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 146.67% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1a, indicator: OP 2, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 146.67% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1a, indicator: OP 2, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 150.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6d, indicator: OP 8, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 150.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6d, indicator: OP 8, year: 2019. Please check.

